

FY 2019 GOVERNOR'S BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS - PROGRAM PERFORMANCE MEASURES

AGENCY NAME: Administration	
DEPARTMENT NAME: Finance and Management	
DIVISION NAME: Financial Operations	
PRIMARY APPROPRIATION #	1115001000
PROGRAM NAME	Financial Operations
PROGRAM NUMBER (if used)	Internal Controls
FY 2019 Appropriation \$\$	\$2,783,291
Budget Amounts in Primary appropriation not related to this program:	\$ 2,650,927.00
SECONDARY APPROPRIATION #	
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$ -
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$ -
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$ -
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$ -
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$ -
TOTAL PROGRAM BUDGET FY 2019	\$ 132,364.00 n/a

POPULATION-LEVEL OUTCOME: (9) Vermont has open, effective, and inclusive government.

- Population-Level Outcomes Drop Down (scroll and select):**
- (scroll down and select)
 - (1) Vermont has a prosperous economy.
 - (2) Vermonters are healthy.
 - (3) Vermont's environment is clean and sustainable.
 - (4) Vermont is a safe place to live.
 - (5) Vermont's families are safe, nurturing, stable, and supported.
 - (6) Vermont's children and young people achieve their potential.
 - (7) Vermont's elders live with dignity in settings they prefer.
 - (8) Vermonters with disabilities live in dignity in settings they prefer.
 - (9) Vermont has open, effective, and inclusive government.
 - (10) Vermont's State Infrastructure meets the needs of Vermonters, the economy and the environment.

POPULATION-LEVEL INDICATOR:

An Indicator is: A measurable condition of well-being for children, adults, families, communities. Examples: violent crime rate; median house price; unemployment rate; % of electric generation from renewable sources; % registered voters voting in general election; % structurally deficient bridges; etc. Not all performance measures have measurable Indicators, although the performance measure may well inform the ultimate Outcome and/or the state of the Outcome.

		Performance Measure Data (Calendar or Fiscal Year)					
		2015	2016	2017	2018 (As reported last year)	2018 Projection	2019 Forecast
Performance Measure A:	% of Yes responses relative to total responses						
Type of PM A:	2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM)	27	95.90%	96.60%	96.90%	96%	96%
Performance Measure B:	% of Yes responses that pass validation review						
Type of PM B:	2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM)	28	84%	78%	96%	90%	90%
Performance Measure C:	% of departments completing survey on-time						
Type of PM C:	2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM)	29	81%	75%	86%	90%	90%
Performance Measure D:	(scroll down and select)						
Type of PM D:	(scroll down and select)	30					
Performance Measure E:	(scroll down and select)						
Type of PM E:	(scroll down and select)	30					
NARRATIVE/COMMENTS/STORY:	Describe the program. Who/what does it serve? Are there any data limitations or caveats? Explain trend or recent changes. Speak to new initiatives expected to have future impact.						
	The objective of the Self-Assessment of Internal Control is to strengthen internal controls throughout State government by requiring all departments to annually complete a Self-Assessment of Internal Control Questionnaire. In completing the questionnaire, departments assert	31					